

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

- - - - - x

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA :
-v.- : S1 10 Cr. 709 (GBD)
ISAAK KHAFIZOV, :
Defendant. :
- - - - - x

GOVERNMENT'S PROPOSED EXAMINATION OF PROSPECTIVE JURORS

PREET BHARARA
United States Attorney for the
Southern District of New York,
Attorney for the United States
of America

Niketh Velamoor
Nicole Friedlander
Assistant United States Attorneys
- Of Counsel -

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

1

-V. -

2

S1 10 Cr. 709 (GBD)

ISAIAK KHAFIZOV,

2

Defendant.

2

GOVERNMENT'S PROPOSED EXAMINATION OF PROSPECTIVE JURORS

The Government respectfully requests the Court to include the following questions in its examination of prospective jurors pursuant to Rule 24(a) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure.

The Court is requested to pursue more detailed questioning at the sidebar or in the robing room if a particular juror's answer reveals that further inquiry is appropriate and, in such an instance, to conclude with an inquiry as to whether the particular fact or circumstance would influence the juror in favor of or against either the Government or the defendant, or otherwise affect the juror's ability to serve as a fair and impartial juror in this case.

A. The Charges

1. This is a criminal case. The defendant, Isaak Khafizov, has been charged in an Indictment filed by a grand jury sitting in this District with violating certain federal laws.

2. The Indictment is not evidence itself. It simply contains the charges that the Government is required to prove to the

satisfaction of the jury beyond a reasonable doubt. I would like to summarize the charges in this case in order to determine whether there is anything about the nature of this case that may make it difficult or inappropriate for any of you to serve on the jury.

3. In indictment alleges that the defendant participated in a scheme to defraud homeowners while working at a company called American Home Recovery f/k/a Benjamin Modification Agency ("AHR"). Specifically, the indictment alleges that the defendant, through AHR, made false statements to homeowners to induce them to pay upfront fees to AHR for mortgage modification assistance.

4. Do any of you believe you have personal knowledge of the charges contained in the Indictment as I have described it? Have any of you read or heard anything about this case? If so, is there anything you have read or heard that would cause you to feel that you cannot decide the fact issues of this case fairly and impartially?

5. Do any of you feel that you could not view fairly and impartially a case involving such charges? Have any of you formed an opinion that any of the actions charged in the Indictment, as I have described them to you, should not be a crime?

B. Ability to Render a Fair Verdict

6. Does the fact that the charges involve alleged acts of fraud affect your ability to render a fair and impartial verdict?

7. Has any juror been involved in an offense involving mail fraud? wire fraud?

8. Has anyone's relative, close friend, or associate been involved in such an offense?

9. Law Enforcement Witnesses. The witnesses in this case will include law enforcement agents and/or other government employees. Would any of you be more likely to believe or disbelieve a witness merely because he or she is a law enforcement agent or employed by the Government?

10. Accomplice Witnesses. You may hear testimony in this case from an accomplice witness, that is, a witness who at one time was involved in illegal activity, but who has admitted that s/he committed various crimes and is testifying pursuant to an agreement with the Government. I instruct you that the use of such accomplice witnesses is perfectly legal and is often a necessary law enforcement tool. Do any of you have any experience with or feelings about the use of accomplice witnesses generally, or the use of evidence or information obtained from accomplice witnesses, that would make it difficult for you to render a fair and impartial verdict if you heard testimony from an accomplice witness?

11. This case was investigated by the Federal Bureau of Investigation ("FBI") and the Department of Treasury Office of the Special Inspector General for the Troubled Asset Relief Program

("SIGTARP"). Has any juror worked for the FBI or SIGTARP? If so, in what capacity? Has any juror had any contact with the FBI or SIGTARP? If so, what was the nature of that contact?

C. Knowledge of the Trial Participants

12. Do any of you know, or have any of you had any dealing, directly or indirectly, with Isaak Khafizov or with any relative, friend or associate of the defendant?

13. Do any of you have any relatives, friends, associates, or employers who know or have had any dealings with the defendant?

14. The Government is represented here, as in all cases where it is a party before the Court, by the United States Attorney for the Southern District of New York -- who is Preet Bharara. The conduct of the trial will be in the immediate charge of Assistant United States Attorneys Nicole Friedlander and Niketh Velamoor. They will be assisted by Michael Rabinowitz and Daniel Nessim, paralegal specialists in the United States Attorney's Office, Special Agent Steven Wintonick of the FBI and Special Agent Carlo Sclafani of SIGTARP. Do any of you know Mr. Bharara, Ms. Friedlander, Mr. Velamoor, Ms. Rabinowitz, Mr. Nessim, Agent Wintonick or Agent Sclafani? Have you had any dealings either directly or indirectly with any of these individuals?

15. The defendant, Isaak Khafizov, is represented by attorneys James Kousouros and Allan Brenner. Do any of you know Mr. Kousouros or Mr. Brenner or have you had any dealings, either directly or indirectly, with either of them?

Relevant Witness and Locations

16. Does any juror know or has he or she had any dealings, either directly or indirectly with any of the following individuals who may be called as witnesses or whose names may come up during the trial:

[list to be provided]

17. Certain conduct at issue in this case took place at various locations, including the following:

6901 Jericho Turnpike, Suite 200, Syosset, New York

Hippodrome Building, 1120 Sixth Ave., New York, NY

50 Old Country Road, Mineola, NY

Are any of you familiar with these general areas of New York or these specific locations? What do you know about the area? Do you think that your familiarity with the area will affect your ability to render a fair verdict?

E. Relationship With Government

18. Do any of you know, or have any association -- professional, business, or social, direct or indirect -- with any member of the staff of the United States Attorney's Office for the Southern District of New York, the FBI or SIGTARP? Is any member of your family employed by any federal department or agency, or any law enforcement agency, whether federal, state or local?

19. Does any juror have any bias, prejudice or other feelings for or against the United States Attorney's Office, the FBI, SIGTARP, or any other law enforcement agency?

20. Have you, or has any member of your family, either as an individual, or in the course of business, ever been a party to any legal action or dispute with the United States, or with any of the officers, departments, agencies, or employees of the United States, including the FBI or SIGTARP? Have any of you had any legal, financial or other interest in any such legal action or dispute, or its outcome?

F. Prior Jury Service

21. Have you ever, at any time, served as a member of a grand jury, whether federal, state, county or city court?

22. Have you ever served as a juror in any court? If so, when and in what court did you serve and was it a civil or criminal case? Did the jury reach a verdict?

G. Experience as a Witness, Defendant, or Crime Victim

23. Has any of you, or any of your relatives or close friends, ever been involved or appeared as a witness in any investigation by a federal or state grand jury or by a Congressional or state legislative committee, licensing authority, or governmental agency? Have you, or any of those close to you, ever been questioned in any matter by a federal, state, or local law enforcement agency?

24. Have you ever been a witness or a complainant in any prosecution, state or federal?

25. Are you, or any family member, to your knowledge, now under subpoena or, to your knowledge, about to be subpoenaed in any criminal case?

26. Have you, or has any member of your family, any associate or close friend, ever been arrested or charged with a crime?

27. Have you, or have any of your relatives, associates, or close friends ever been the subject of any investigation or accusation by any federal or state grand jury, or by any Congressional committee?

28. Have you, or any of your close friends or relatives, ever been a victim of a crime? [As to any juror who answers affirmatively, the Court is respectfully requested to inquire, at the bench or in the robing room, into the circumstances of each crime.]

H. Other Questions

29. Do any of you have any problems with your hearing or vision which would prevent you from giving full attention to all of the evidence at this trial?

30. Are any of you taking any medication that prevents you from giving full attention to all of the evidence at this trial?

31. Do any of you have any difficulty in reading or understanding English in any degree?

32. Do any of you have any religious, philosophical, or other beliefs that would make you unable to render a guilty verdict for reasons unrelated to the law and the evidence?

33. In these questions, I have tried to direct your attention to possible reasons why you might not be able to serve as a fair and impartial juror. Aside from the previous questions I have asked, do any of you have the slightest doubts in your own mind, for any reason whatsoever, that you will not be able to conscientiously, fairly, and impartially serve in this case and to render a true and just verdict without fear, favor, sympathy, or prejudice, and according to the law as it would be explained to you?

I. Function of the Court and Jury

34. The function of the jury is to decide questions of fact. As a juror, you are the sole judge of the facts and nothing that the Court or the attorneys say or do may intrude in any way on

your role as the exclusive fact finder. However, when it comes to the law, you are to take your instructions from the Court and you are bound by those instructions. You may not substitute your ideas of what the law is or what you may think the law should be. At the conclusion of this case, your job will be to determine whether or not the defendant is guilty as charged in the Indictment. Do any of you have any bias or prejudice that might prevent or hinder you from accepting the instructions of law that I will give you in this case?

35. Will each of you accept the proposition that the question of punishment is for the Court alone to decide, and that any possible punishment must not enter into your deliberations as to whether the defendant is guilty or not guilty?

36. Will each of you accept the proposition of law that sympathy must not enter into the deliberations of the jurors as whether the defendant is guilty or not guilty, and that only the evidence produced here in Court may be used by you to determine whether the defendant is guilty or not guilty?

37. It is not a particularly pleasant duty to find another individual guilty of committing a crime. Is there any of you who feels that even if the evidence established a defendant's guilt beyond a reasonable doubt, you might not be able to render a guilty verdict for reasons unrelated to the law and the evidence?

J. Juror's Background

38. The Government respectfully requests that the Court ask each juror to state the following information:

- a. the juror's family status;
- b. the town or part of New York City in which the juror resides;
- c. the juror's occupation and educational background;
- d. the location of the juror's employer;
- e. the period of employment with that employer;
- f. the same information concerning other employment within the last five years;
- g. the same information with respect to the juror's spouse and any working children;
- h. what newspapers and magazines the juror reads and how often; and
- i. what television programs the juror regularly watches.

K. Requested Instruction Following Impaneling of the Jury

39. You, as jurors, must decide this case based solely on the evidence presented here within the four walls of this courtroom. This means that during the trial, you must not conduct any independent research about this case, the matters in this case, and the individuals and corporations involved in the case. In other words, you should not consult dictionaries or reference materials, search the Internet, websites, blogs, or use any other electronic

tools to obtain information about this case or to help you decide the case. From this point until the time when you retire to deliberate your verdict, it is your duty not to discuss this case, and not to remain in the presence of other persons who may be discussing this case. The rule about not discussing the case with others includes discussions even with members of your own family, and your friends. If at any time during the course of this trial, any person attempts to talk to you or to communicate with you about this case, either in or out of the courthouse, you should immediately report such an attempt to me through my deputy clerk and to no one else. When I say report that to no one else, I mean that you should not tell anyone, including your fellow jurors.

40. In this regard, let me explain to you that the attorneys and the defendant in a case are not supposed to talk to jurors, not even to offer a friendly greeting. So if you happen to see any of them outside this courtroom, they will, and should, ignore you. Please do not take offense. They will be acting properly by doing so. In this connection, when the Court is in recess, please stay either in the jury room or somewhere outside the courtroom. Please do not wait in the courtroom.

41. Finally, if anything should happen involving any juror which is of an unusual nature, or which you think is something the judge should be told about, do not discuss it with any other juror.

Simply give the clerk a note to the effect that you want to speak to me about it and I can then hear what it is and what you have to say. I make these remarks without expecting anything unusual or improper to happen. It is just safer to take the precaution of alerting you in advance.

Dated: New York, New York
May 3, 2012

Respectfully submitted,

PREET BHARARA
United States Attorney
Attorney for the Government
United States of America

By: /s/
Nicole Friedlander
Niketh Velamoor
Assistant United States Attorneys
(212) 637-2211/1076